

REVIEWER'S COMMENTS
(confidential – only for the Editorial Board)

Date:

Title:

Evaluate the article in the table below:

	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
Originality					
Adequacy of the title with the content					
Methodology and objective					
Terminology					
Style					
Writings					

1. Recommendations:

	YES	NO
– I accept the article without corrections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– I accept the article with small corrections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– I accept the article with significant corrections, after applying the reviewer's comments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– I don't accept the article in the present form; I suggest submitting the corrected version of the article and another review	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– I don't accept the article	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. If you are not able to review the whole article, please indicate those chapters that should be reviewed by another reviewer.

3. COMMENTS and a short written justification of your recommendations: